

Le service de certification d'OPERAS

Ouvrir la boîte noire de l'évaluation
des monographies de recherche

Pierre Mounier - EHESS

OPERAS

...the publishing landscape is fragmented and varies in quality and services...

researchers, librarians and publishers together to regain control over scholarly communication

...in the Social Sciences and Humanities, books and journals are crucial: most of the research time is dedicated to reading, annotating and writing...

European research infrastructure for the development of open scholarly communication in the Social Sciences and Humanities to rethink publishing, discovery and dissemination

Share Press (IT)
OpenEdition (FR)
perspectiva.net (DE)
OAPEN (NL)
EKT e-publishing (GR)
Ubiquity Press (UK)
Hrcaak (HR)
UC Digitalis (PT)

OPERAS
Hub



38 organizations from 15 countries
coordinated by a 9 members core group
led by OpenEdition and Huma-Num (FR)



More efficient, fair, FAIR, inclusive & sustainable scholarly communication ecosystem for researchers

operas-eu.
org

Pierre Mounier
Associate Director at OpenEdition
Coordinator of OPERAS
pierre.mounier@openedition.org

Suzanne Dumouchel
TOIR Huma-Num (CNRS)
Coordinator of OPERAS
suzanne.dumouchel@huma-num.fr

Paulin Ribbe
OPERAS and HIRMAIOS Project
Manager at Open Edition
paulin.ribbe@openedition.org

Created by Elisabeth Heinemann
Digital Humanities Officer at Max Weber Stiftung
OPERAS Communication Officer
heinemann@maxweberstiftung.de

Creative Commons
Attribution 4.0 International
CC BY 4.0



OPERASIO has received funding from
the European Union's Horizon 2020
research and innovation programme
under grant agreement No 731031.

Mandat accès ouvert H2020 (2012)

4. Mandate on open access to publications

The detailed legal requirements on open access to publications are contained in article 29.2 of the Model Grant Agreement.

Under Horizon 2020, each beneficiary must ensure open access to all peer-reviewed scientific publications relating to its results.

In order to comply with this requirement, beneficiaries must, at the very least, ensure that their publications, if any, can be read online, downloaded and printed. However, as any additional rights such as the right to copy, distribute, search, link, crawl, and mine increase the utility of the accessible publication, beneficiaries should make every effort to provide for as many of them as possible.

'Peer-reviewed' publications refer to publications that have been evaluated by peers, i.e. other scholars. Peer review is typically, yet not exclusively, organised by the journal or publisher to which an article or manuscript is submitted. New approaches to the organisation of peer review are expected to become more prevalent in the coming years.

The dominant type of peer-reviewed scientific publication is the journal article. In addition, however, beneficiaries are strongly encouraged to provide open access to other types of scientific publications, some of which may, in some cases, not be peer-reviewed, including monographs, books, conference proceedings and grey literature (informally published written material not controlled by scientific publishers, e.g. reports).

L'évaluation des articles scientifiques

DOAJ DIRECTORY OF
OPEN ACCESS
JOURNALS

Journals vs Articles

Journals ✕

+ Subject

+ Article processing charges (APCs)

+ DOAJ Seal

+ Journal license

+ Publisher

+ Country of publisher

+ Full Text language

Peer review

10 count ↓ OR

Double blind peer review (6010)

Blind peer review (3491)

Peer review (2537)

Open peer review (135)

Editorial review (133)

+ Date added to DOAJ

Journals vs Articles: Journals ✕

1 – 10 of 12 362



[Global justice: Theory, Practice, Rhetoric](#)

ISSN: 1835-6842 (Online)

<http://www.theglobaljusticenetwork.org>

Peer review

Subject: Political science: Political science (General)

Date added to DOAJ: 29 Sept 2017

Record Last Updated: 31 Jan 2018



[Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan](#)

ISSN: 2502-471X (Online)

<http://journal.um.ac.id/index.php/jptpp/>

Blind peer review

Subject: Education: Education (General)

Date added to DOAJ: 13 Apr 2017

Record Last Updated: 13 Apr 2017



[Journal of Problem Solving](#)

ISSN: 1932-6246 (Online)

<http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/jps/>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Philosophy. Psychology. Religion: Psychology: Consciousness. Cognition

Date added to DOAJ: 3 Aug 2009

Record Last Updated: 26 Feb 2018



[Revista Adm.Made](#)

ISSN: 1518-9929 (Print); 2237-5139 (Online)

<http://revistaadmmade.estacio.br/index.php/admmade/index>



Double blind peer review

Subject: Social Sciences: Commerce: Business


Date added to DOAJ: 23 Oct 2016

Record Last Updated: 23 Oct 2016

Les livres (écrits par des) scientifiques sont-ils évalués ?



L'évaluation des livres en accès ouvert



doab directory of open access books

Search | Browse | DOABlog | FAQ | Support | For publishers | Our sponsors

For publishers

- [DOAB for publishers](#)
- [Requirements](#)
- [Application](#)
- [Upload procedures](#)

DOAB for publishers

The Directory of Open Access Books (DOAB) is a discovery service for Open Access monographs. DOAB provides a searchable index to peer-reviewed monographs and edited volumes published under an Open Access business model, with links to the full texts of the publications at the publisher's website or repository.

Academic publishers are invited to provide the metadata of their Open Access books to DOAB. Metadata are harvestable in order to maximize dissemination, visibility and impact. Aggregators can integrate the records in their commercial services and libraries can integrate the directory into their online catalogues, thereby helping scholars, students and the general public to discover the books. DOAB is open to all academic publishers: The directory aims to cover all subject areas and all languages and should contain as many books as possible.

Requirements

DOAB determines requirements for participation by publishers, in consultation with the participating publishers and the DOAB Advisory Board. The current requirements have been specified by the [OAPEN Foundation](#) in consultation with [OASPA](#). The current requirements to take part in DOAB are twofold:

- Academic books in DOAB shall be available under an Open Access license (such as a Creative Commons license)
- [Academic books in DOAB shall be subjected to independent and external peer review prior to publication](#)

The policies and procedures regarding peer review and licensing should be clearly outlined on the publisher's website. More information about these requirements can be found in the [OASPA Membership Criteria](#).

Des modes d'évaluation variés



English ▾

Search **Browse** DOABlog FAQ Support For publishers Our sponsors About Contact

By title By subject By publisher

Berghahn Books

<http://berghahnbooks.com>

About **Peer review info** License info

Peer review info

We will evaluate the initial proposal to decide whether it is suitable for us and, if this seems to be the case, it will be assessed in-house or by an expert. If we are confident the project is publishable, we will request that you send us the full manuscript. Once we have received the full manuscript, it will be sent out to several anonymous readers for peer review. If a book is intended for a series, we will also solicit the advice of the series editors – their level of involvement is at their discretion and varies from series to series.

Peer review is an important element of Berghahn's publishing process. It may take several months before a decision is reached on a full-length manuscript, depending on how soon we can secure readers and how quickly the readers submit their respective reports. Although more time may be agreed, we currently request that readers return their reports to us within six weeks from the time they accept the manuscript for review — you can find our Guidelines for Readers here. If we agree to publish your manuscript you will receive a written offer within one month of our decision. Upon sending you the readers' reports, we will then ask you to submit a complete and revised manuscript by an agreed-upon date. It is very important that you consult our style guide and the editorial checklists provided with the publication acceptance when revising in order to avoid unnecessary delays at later stages.

Browse results: Found 32

Listing 1 - 10 of 32

<< page 1 of 4 >>



Bondage

Authors: Stanziani, Alessandro


Book Series: International Studies in Social History **ISBN:** 9781782382508

9781785336607 **Year:** 2014 **Language:** English

Publisher: Berghahn Books **Grant:** Knowledge Unlatched - 101588

Subject: History

Added to DOAB on : 2018-05-19 11:01:53

License: 

[Abstract](#) | [Keywords](#) | [Free access](#) | [Buy the book](#) | [Export citation](#) | [SHARE](#)

Bondage : Labor and Rights in Eurasia from the Sixteenth to the Early Twentieth

Des modes d'évaluation variés



English ▾

[Search](#) | [Browse](#)

[DOABlog](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Support](#) | [For publishers](#) | [Our sponsors](#) | [About](#) | [Contact](#) 

[By title](#) | [By subject](#) | [By publisher](#)

Österreichisches Archäologisches Institut

<http://www.oeai.at/>

[About](#) | [Peer review info](#) | [License info](#)

Peer review info

Peer-Review at the FWF: All funded books pass through an independent academic peer review process prior to publication. Either the FWF or the publisher handle the peer review process. The FWF asks for at least one written review from an international renowned expert outside of Austria. In cases where the publisher itself handles the peer review process, the publisher has to ask for at least two peer reviews meeting the requirements of the FWF. This means: Reviews must not be carried out by persons who may have or may be suspected to have a conflict of interest with regard to the authors, editors or other persons involved in the publication. Reviewers are considered to have a conflict of interest in cases where they might benefit personally or financially from the approval or rejection of the application. The same applies to cases where reviewers have worked at the same research institution, published or cooperated with the applicant or another person involved in the publication in the last five years, or where the reviewers have fundamental differences of scholarly opinion or close professional and/or personal relationships with the applicant or another person involved in the publication. Scholars who work in Austria must not be called in as reviewers. Peer reviews must be meaningful and comparable with reviews obtained by the FWF. Publishers could only conduct the peer review by themselves if they have an international peer review process for the selection of publications. The review process must be described in a transparent manner on the web site of the publisher.

Browse results: Found 9

Listing 1 - 9 of 9



Antike Grabbauten in Noricum

Authors: [Kremer, Gabrielle](#)

ISBN: 9783900305338 **Year:** 2001 **Pages:** 436 Seiten **DOI:** 10.26530/OAPEN_437190

Language: German

Publisher: Österreichisches Archäologisches Institut **Grant:** Austrian Science Fund (FWF) - D 3244

Added to DOAB on : 2013-03-27 11:49:26

License: 

[Abstract](#) | [Keywords](#) | [Free access](#) | [Buy the book](#) | [Export citation](#) | [SHARE](#)

...variés à l'infini...

Access is
fundamental
to notable
scholarship

OPERAS network HIRMEOS

standards & technologies

DOI, ORCID, FundRef
NERD
DOAB PR Types
Hypothes.is
Counter
Crossref, Google Scholar
Altmetrics

services

Identification
Entity Recognition
Certification
Annotation
Usage metrics

aggregators

OpenAIRE
DOAB
library catalogues
etc.



platforms

OpenEdition (Lodel)
OAPEN (Arno)
Göttingen UP (DSPace)
EKT publishing (OMP)
ubiquity press (OMP)

open science
infrastructure
EOSC

HIRMEOS Context

HIRMEOS takes place within the larger network OPERAS (open access in the European research area through scholarly communication) that joins more than 20 partners to build up a distributed research infrastructure for the Humanities and Social Sciences. The Project is run by nine European partners committed to innovative scholarly communication and is based upon five Open Access books publishing platforms giving access to more than 8000 scholarly books. HIRMEOS is funded within the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme aiming at user-driven e-infrastructure innovation.



Funded by the Horizon
2020 Framework
Programme of the
European Union



Stay updated about HIRMEOS activities
and subscribe to our newsletter.



www.hirmeos.eu



HIRMEOS PARTNERS



OPERAS

open access in the european research
area through scholarly communication



Questionnaire éditeurs



open access in the european research
area through scholarly communication

Review timing

Question A

At what stage is the peer review being conducted?

- ☐ Before submission
- ☒ After submission
- ☐ After publication

Reviewed object

Question B

What is being reviewed?

- ☐ Book proposal
- ☐ Full manuscript
- ☐ Sample chapters
- ☐ Book proposal & Full manuscript
- ☒ Book proposal & Sample chapters
- ☐ Research paper
- ☐ Research proposal
- ☐ Preprint

Review Process

Question C

Who conducts the PR?

- ☐ A single peer reviewer
- ☐ Multiple peer reviewers
- ☐ Peer to peer review
- ☐ Crowd sourced reviewers
- ☐ Editor or series editor
- ☐ Editorial board
- ☐ A single peer reviewer & Editor or series editor
- ☐ A single peer reviewer & Editorial board
- ☐ Multiple peer reviewers & Editor or series editor
- ☒ Multiple peer reviewers & Editorial board
- ☐ Peer to peer review & Editor or series editor
- ☐ Peer to peer review & Editorial board
- ☐ Crowd sourced reviewers & Editor or series editor
- ☐ Crowd sourced reviewers & Editorial board

Question D

Level of openness?

- ☐ Single blind peer review
- ☒ Double blind peer review
- ☐ Unblinded peer review

- ☐ Open peer review (the review is public)


Decision process

Question E

Who oversees the peer review process?

- ☐ Publisher
- ☒ Editor or series editor
- ☒ Editorial board or committee
- ☐ Scientific committee

Badges certificats



directory of
open access
books

Winner of the
Open Access
Awards 2015

[Search](#) | [Browse](#) | [DOABlog](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Support](#) | [For publishers](#) | [Our sponsors](#)


[By title](#) | [By subject](#) | [By publisher](#)

Ubiquity Press
<http://www.ubiquitypress.com/>
[About](#) | [Peer review info](#) | [License info](#)


Peer review info
 All Ubiquity Press ebooks are peer reviewed by external experts prior to acceptance for publication.

Browse results: Found **43**


Listing **1** - **10** of **43** << page **1** of 5 >>





"Production Ergonomics"

Authors: [Adams, Caroline](#) --- [Berlin, Cecilia](#)
ISBN: 9781911529125 9781911529132 9781911529149 9781911529156 **Year:** 2017
Pages: 296 **DOI:** 10.5334/bbe **Language:** English
Publisher: [Ubiquity Press](#)
Subject: [Agriculture \(General\)](#) --- [Economics](#)
Added to DOAB on : 2017-07-11 11:02:03
License: 


[Abstract](#) | [Keywords](#) | [Free access](#) | [Buy the book](#) | [Export citation](#) | [SHARE](#)



Animal (De)liberation 

Authors: [Deckers, Jan](#)
ISBN: 9781909188846 9781909188839 9781909188853 9781909188860 9781909188877
Year: 2016 **Pages:** 244 **DOI:** 10.5334/bay **Language:** English
Publisher: [Ubiquity Press](#) **Grant:** Wellcome Trust - 104137
Subject: [Agriculture \(General\)](#) --- [Public Health](#) --- [Medicine \(General\)](#) --- [Law](#) --- [Animal Sciences](#) --- [Philosophy](#)
Added to DOAB on : 2016-08-19 11:01:13
License: 

Descriptions courtes



directory of
open access
books

Winner of the IFLA/Brill
Open Access award 2015


English

Search | **Browse** | DOABlog | FAQ | Support | For publishers | Our sponsors | About | Contact

By title | By subject | By publisher


Ubiquity Press
<http://www.ubiquitypress.com/>
About | Peer review info | License

Browse results: Found 43
Listing 1 - 10 of 43



"Product
Authors:
ISBN: 9781909188846
Pages: 244
Publishe
Subject:
Added to
License: [CC BY]


Abstract | Keywords | Free access | Buy the book | Export citation | SHARE



Animal (De)liberation
Authors: Deckers, Jan
ISBN: 9781909188846 9781909188839 9781909188853 9781909188860 9781909188877
9781909188846 **Year:** 2016 **Pages:** 244 **DOI:** 10.5334/bay **Language:** English
Publisher: Ubiquity Press **Grant:** Wellcome Trust - 104137
Subject: Agriculture (General) --- Public Health --- Medicine (General) --- Law --- Animal
Sciences --- Philosophy
Added to DOAB on : 2016-08-19 11:01:13
License: [CC BY]


Abstract | Keywords | Free access | Buy the book | Export citation | SHARE

Peer Review Info

DOAB certification information
Animal (De)liberation
Publisher: Ubiquity Press 
DOAB has certified the Peer Review Process for 1 Peer Review type(s):

- **What** - What is being reviewed?: Full manuscript
- **Who** - Who conducts the peer review? Multiple peer reviewers
- **How** - What is the level of openness? Single blind peer review
- **When** - At what stage is the peer review being conducted? After submission
- Peer review is overseen by: Publisher

Sur les plateformes aussi



Animal (De)liberation

Should the Consumption of Animal Products Be Banned?

Jan Deckers

Read Book

Download

Buy Hardback

Buy Paperback

In this book, Jan Deckers addresses the most crucial question that people must deliberate in relation to how we should treat other animals: whether we should eat animal products.

Many people object to the consumption of animal products from the conviction that it inflicts pain, suffering, and death upon animals. This book argues that a convincing ethical theory cannot be based on these important concerns: rather, it must focus on our interest in human health. Tending to this interest demands not only that we extend speciesism—the attribution of special significance to members of our own species merely because they belong to the same species as ourselves—towards nonhuman animals, but also that we safeguard the integrity of nature.

In this light, projects that aim to engineer the genetic material of animals to reduce their capacities to feel pain and to suffer are morally suspect. The same applies to projects that aim to develop in-vitro flesh, even if the production of such flesh should be welcomed on other grounds.

The theory proposed in this book is accompanied by a political goal, the ‘vegan project’, which strives for a qualified ban on the consumption of animal products. Deckers also provides empirical evidence that some support for this goal exists already, and his analysis of the views of others—including those of slaughterhouse workers—reveals that the vegan project stands firm in spite of public opposition.

Many charges have been pressed against vegan diets, including: that they alienate human beings from nature; that they increase human food security concerns; and that they are unsustainable. Deckers

How to cite this book

“ Deckers, J. 2016. *Animal (De)liberation: Should the Consumption of Animal Products Be Banned?*. London: Ubiquity Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/bay> ”

Get citation

License



This is an Open Access book distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license** (unless stated otherwise), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Copyright is retained by the author(s).

Peer Review Information



This book has been peer reviewed.

Recent Activity

< previous

next >

Ubiquity Press Ju[
@ubiquitypress

Our author, Jan Deckers, will discuss his book at Blackwell's Bookshop in Newcastle on Monday, 4th December, no booking required! Download the entire book for free doi.org/10.5334/bay #animaethics #vegan

Cafe Culture NE @cafeculturene
Cafe Politique on Monday 4 December is on *Animal De-liberations: The Politics of Veganism* with Jan Deckers, Newcastle University 5.30pm costa at @BlackwellNcl all welcome, just turn up!




2 7:29 PM - Nov 20, 2017

See Ubiquity Press Ju[’s other Tweets

GENERIC

SYSTEME



WHAT

Proposal



Sample
(including previous work)



Full manuscript



Preprint



WHO

Single reviewer



Multiple reviewers



Peer-to-peer review



Editorial board



Crowdsourced



HOW

Single blind



Double blind



Unblinded



Open



WHEN

Before submission



After submission



After publication





OPERAS

open access in the european research
area through scholarly communication

Systeme
visuel

Peer Review Transparency



A proposal, subjected to fully closed review; a
subsequent manuscript subjected to peer-to-peer
review.



A manuscript subjected to fully closed cross review, with an
attendant dataset subjected to partially closed cross review.

<https://www.prtstandards.org/>

OPERAS

open access in the european research
area through scholarly communication

[Home](#) [What It's About](#) [Report](#) [Bios](#) [Resources](#) [Agenda](#)



The unique authority of scholarly publishing arises from the rigorous evaluation and assessment works must go through before they are published—known as the peer review process. Peer Review Transparency is an initiative of scholarly publishers, academic librarians, technology innovators, and thought leaders in scholarly communication, with support from the [Open Society Foundations](#), to create agreed definitions of how peer review is conducted and to disclose clearly

CONCLUSION

**OPEN SCIENCE:
JUST
SCIENCE
DONE RIGHT**



Pierre.mounier@openedition.org

MERCI POUR VOTRE ATTENTION